



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

happened to be several joint wrong-doers. This argument is not convincing, for if the American system were allowed to prevail here the recovery of costs could be made conditional on the subsequent actions not having been brought unnecessarily or vexatiously. The rule is, however, so firmly established that even the House of Lords would probably profess themselves bound to uphold it, whatever their own opinion of its reasonableness might be.—London Law Journal.

IN VACATION.

Notice of Dissolution of Marriage.—One day a tall, gaunt woman, with rope-colored hair and an expression of great fierceness, strode into the office of a county clerk in West Virginia.

"You air the person that keeps the marriage books, ain't ye?" she demanded.

"What book do you wish to see, madam?" asked the police clerk.

"Kin you find out if Jim Jones was married?"

Search of the records disclosed the name of James Jones, for whose marriage a license had been issued two years before.

"Married Elizabeth Mott, didn't he?" asked the woman.

"The license was issued for a marriage with Miss Elizabeth Mott."

"Well, young man, I'm Elizabeth. I thought I oughter come an' tell ye that Jim has escaped."—Cent. Law Journal.

E R R A T A.

In case of *Luck v. Kersley*, reported from Hanover Circuit Court in June number of "The Law Register," at page 102, there appear several errors.

Page 103. Twelfth line from bottom, the opinion should read, "The first question therefore, which presents itself is: Was the deed of trust," etc.

Page 104. Line twenty-three from bottom for "first secured deed of trust," read, "first and second deed of trust." On next line, for "at time of giving deed," read "at time of giving deeds," and on following line for "Trustee" read "Trustees."

Page 108. For the language under "Notice," in the first sentence, read, "The point raised, that Cardwell being president of the bank at the time he drew the deed of Sept. 8, 1904, and, having such notice, this was notice to the bank, is not tenable, if it was material in this case."

Page 108. "For 28 Va." read "98 Va."